

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/830,785	05/01/2001	Kojiro Hamabe	P/1905-100	3695
7590 07/08/2004			EXAMINER	
Ostrolenk Faber Gerb & Soffen 1180 Avenue of the Americas			ORGAD, EDAN	
New York, NY 10036-8403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2684	
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004	ļ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/830,785	HAMABE, KOJIRO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edan Orgad	2684	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to. cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status			
1)	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-98 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-47,49-67 and 69-89 is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 48,68 and 90-98 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Appli prity documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 48 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 48 and 68, it not clear how the base station comparison is used for transmission power control on the mobile station when the determination of the presence/absence of a frame in which no error is detected, increases the control target value if there is no frame in which no error is detected, and gradually decreases the control target value if there is a frame in which no error is detected.

It is not clear why the control power is increased if there is no frame in which no error is detected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 90-95, 97 and 98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weaver et al (US 5,727,033).

Application/Control Namer: 09/830,785

Art Unit: 2684

1

Regarding claims 90, 93, 95 and 97, Weaver teaches a transmission power control method characterized in that: reception quality of a signal transmitted from a remote station is compared with a control target value (col. 3, lines 37-42), the comparison result is used for transmission power control on the remote station, and the number of bits in error is checked (col. 3, lines 46-52); and the control target value is increased in accordance with the number of bits in error and decreased in accordance with the number of bits not in error (col. 4, lines 28-33).

Regarding claims 91, 94 and 98, Weaver teaches transmission power control method characterized in that: reception quality of a signal transmitted from a mobile station is compared with a control target value (col. 3, lines 37-42), the comparison result is used for transmission power control on a base station, and the number of bits in error is checked (col. 3, lines 46-52); and the control target value is increased in accordance with the number of bits in error and decreased in accordance with the number of bits not in error (col. 4, lines 28-33).

Regarding claim 92, Weaver teaches a transmission power control method characterized in that: reception quality of a signal transmitted from a base station is compared with a control target value (col. 3, lines 37-42), the comparison result is used for transmission power control on a mobile station, and the number of bits in error is checked (col. 3, lines 46-52); and the control target value is increased in accordance with the number of bits in error and decreased in accordance with the number of bits not in error (col. 4, lines 28-33).

Application/Control Newser: 09/830,785

Art Unit: 2684

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 96 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weaver et al (US 5,727,033).

Regarding claim 96, Weaver teaches the a control target value for controlling transmission power of the mobile station is increased in accordance with the number of bits in error and decreased in accordance with the number of bits not in error (col. 4, lines 28-33). However, Weaver fails to specifically disclose a control station comprising diversity synthesis of signals from a mobile station which are received by a plurality of base stations and therefore the number of bits in error are checked in the synthesized signal. However, official notice is taken, that is it is notoriously well known in the art to have diversity synthesis of signals from a mobile station which are received by a plurality of base stations. Therefore, it would have been obvious to Weaver's communication system to have diversity synthesis of signals from a mobile station which are received by a plurality of base stations in order to provide multiple users the ability to roam from cell site to another with out being limited to one base station.

Application/Control Namber: 09/830,785

Art Unit: 2684

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-47, 49-67 and 69-89 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 1-12, 21, 23, 24, 35, 36, 41-54, 65-67, 83, 86 and 87, the prior art of record, specifically Weaver et al (US 5,727,033) teaches a frame error rate target is selected to minimize power without compromising signal quality. For example, if the frame error rate exceeds the frame error rate target, the power output level of the mobile station is increased to decrease the number of frame errors. If the frame error rate is below the frame error rate target, the power output level of the mobile station exceeds the optimum power output level, and the power output level of the mobile station is reduced (see Weaver, col. 1, lines 34-43). Other prior art cited, also teach the same principal, specifically with relations to IS95 and CDMA systems. In other words, it is well known in the art to use transmission power control schemes where a reception quality of a signal that is transmitted from a remote station is compared with a control target value, and the comparison result is used for transmission power control on the remote station to check whether a frame error exists and increase the control target value if a frame error is detected.

However, the present application, with regards to claims 1-12, 21, 23, 24, 35, 36, 41-54, 65-67, 83, 86 and 87, teach a transmission power control method where <u>it is</u> checked whether a frame error exists in the received signal, the control target value is increased if a frame error is detected, and the control target value is gradually decreased if no frame error is detected. The key difference with the present application is that the by introducing a gradual decrease in the control target value when no frame error is

Art Unit: 2684

received and in comparison to the increasing the control target value when a frame error is received applicant has indicated that the correction value for increasing the control target value is greater than the correction value for decreasing the control target value.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 2003/0186653 Reducing interference in cellular communication networks.

US 6,587,447 Performing outer loop power control in discontinuous transmission mode.

US 6,487,191 Power control with interference reduction during soft handoff in CDMA cellular communication systems.

US 6,487,174 S/N measuring circuit and method, transmitting electric power control apparatus and digital communicating system.

US 6,434,124 Adaptive symbol error count based technique for CDMA reverse link outer loop power control.

US 6,396,867 Method and apparatus for forward link power control.

US 6,212,364 Reverse power control for reducing interference between terminals.

Art Unit: 2684

US 6,154,659 Fast forward link power control in a CDMA system.

US 5,727,033 Symbol error based power control for mobile telecomm system.

EP 0 853 393 Transmitted Power Control.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edan Orgad whose telephone number is 703-305-4223. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM to 5:30PM with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached on 703-305-4223. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edan Orgad

10

June 24, 2004

NAY MAUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER